

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Suwannee River Water Management District Governing Board

FROM: Tom Mirti, Interim Division Director, Water Resources

THRU: Noah Valenstein, Executive Director

DATE: November 9, 2015

RE: October 2015 Hydrologic Conditions Report for the SRWMD

### RAINFALL

- District-wide rainfall in October was 1.43", about half of the long-term average October rainfall of 3.10". Only two areas of the District received above average rainfall: the area around the town of Newberry in southwest Alachua County and in a band from southern San Pedro Bay in Taylor County northward towards Madison Blue Spring. For the fourth month in a row, Jefferson County received the least amount of rainfall in the District—about 0.7" (Table 1 and Figure 1). In addition to Jefferson County, the Suwannee River corridor also generally received less than an inch of rain during the month (Figure 2). Rainfall amounts in the Georgia portion of the Suwannee River basin were near average in the Withlacoochee and Little River basins, but they were well below average in the Alapaha River basin and the Okefenokee Swamp (Figure 3).
- The highest gaged monthly rainfall total (5.04") was recorded at the Madison Blue Spring station in eastern Madison County, and the highest daily total (3.71" on October 2) was recorded at the Foley Tower in eastern Taylor County. The lowest gaged monthly total was 0.36" at the Benton Tower rainfall station in northern Columbia County.
- The rainfall average across the District for the 12-month period ending October 31 was 52.4", compared to the long-term average of 54.6". The cumulative 12-month departure remained at a slight deficit of 2.2". The western Santa Fe River basin in southern Columbia County continues to exhibit the largest rainfall deficits. The cumulative rainfall surplus in the lower Steinhatchee and Suwannee River basins declined slightly from the previous month end but remains well above the 12-month normal (Figure 4).
- Average District rainfall for the 3 months ending October 31 was 16.1", roughly in line with the long-term average of 15.9". Western Dixie County and the Watermelon Pond area of southwest Alachua County continue to display significant surpluses--over 15"—for the period. Major basin rainfall departures all decreased with the exception of the Aucilla River basin, which improved by about an inch (Figure 5).

### SURFACEWATER

- **Rivers:** Most river level stations in the District began the month and all stations ended the month within the normal range of flows (between the 25<sup>th</sup> and the 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles). The Upper Santa Fe River began the month at above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile and the Econfinia River had been below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile. In the Georgia portion of the Suwannee River basin, the Withlacoochee River remained at below normal levels. Flow statistics for major river stations are presented graphically in Figure 6, and river level conditions relative to historic conditions are provided in Figure 7.
- **Lakes:** All District monitored lakes declined in stage during October. Lake Sampson in central Bradford County declined the greatest amount, at -1.3', while Lake Alto in northeastern Alachua County declined the least at -0.2'. Sneads Smokehouse Lake continues to remain below the gage limit. Figure 8 shows lake levels relative to their respective long-term minimum, average and maximum levels. Five lakes dropped to below average levels during October.

- **Springs:** The flow of 26 springs or spring groups were measured by the USGS, District staff, or District contractors in October. Springflows generally increased during the month; Gilchrist Blue Spring was measured at a record high flow (provisional) of 89 cubic feet per second. Other springs on the Santa Fe River also are currently flowing at relatively high levels. Historical flow data for four of these Santa Fe River springs are provided in Figure 9.

## GROUNDWATER

Groundwater levels in upper Floridan aquifer monitor wells decreased across most areas of the District and ended the month at the 62<sup>nd</sup> percentile overall, a decrease of about 3 percentile points from September. The upper reaches of the Waccasassa River basin remained in the high category along with the upper Santa Fe River corridor. Coastal areas in Levy, Dixie and Taylor counties have dropped into the normal range. Most of the remainder of the District is in the normal range. In the vicinity of the middle Aucilla and Wacissa rivers, groundwater levels decreased slightly and dropped into extremely low conditions (Figure 10). Floridan aquifer levels for a representative sample of long-term wells are provided in Figure 11 along with summary statistics, and regional long-term well information is provided in Figure 12 along with a description of aquifer characteristics.

## HYDROLOGICAL/METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION

- The Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI), a climatological tool produced by the National Climatic Data Center, assesses the severity and frequency of abnormally dry or wet weather using rainfall, temperature, and soil moisture data. PDSI values for the week ending October 31 showed ongoing near-normal conditions in north Florida and southeast Georgia, and moderate drought conditions in south-central Georgia.
- The National Weather Service Climate Prediction Center (CPC) is forecasting above-normal rainfall conditions for November and now projects them to continue through June for north Florida. The current El Niño index level is 2.0, indicating a strong event is in place, and the CPC is projecting strengthening to an index level of 2.6 by the end of December. If this occurs, it would exceed the 1997-98 El Niño event peak by 0.3 index units and would set a new index record.
- The U.S. Drought Monitor report of November 3 indicated moderate drought conditions in parts of central Jefferson County and western Madison County. Dry conditions are present in Taylor County and parts of Dixie and Lafayette counties as well. The remainder of the District is within normal conditions.

## CONSERVATION

Water conservation is necessary to sustain healthy flows in springs and rivers. All users are urged to eliminate unnecessary uses. Landscape irrigation is limited to twice per week during Daylight Savings Time (between March 9 and October 31, 2015) based on a water conservation rule that applies to residential landscaping, public or commercial recreation areas, and businesses that aren't regulated by a District-issued permit. Information about the SRWMD's year-round irrigation conservation measures is available at [www.mysuwanneeriver.com](http://www.mysuwanneeriver.com).


This report is compiled in compliance with Chapter 40B-21.211, Florida Administrative Code, using rainfall (radar-derived estimate), groundwater (105 wells), surfacewater (35 stations), and general information such as drought indices and forecasts. Data are provisional and are updated as revised data become available. Data are available at [www.mysuwanneeriver.com](http://www.mysuwanneeriver.com) or by request.

**Table 1:** Estimated Rainfall Totals (inches)


County	October 2015	October Average	Month % of Normal	Last 12 Months	Annual % of Normal
Alachua	1.68	3.05	55%	48.56	95%
Baker	0.79	3.31	24%	45.38	91%
Bradford	1.15	2.76	42%	40.92	81%
Columbia	1.02	3.06	33%	50.18	98%
Dixie	1.63	3.07	53%	45.77	77%
Gilchrist	1.62	2.98	54%	47.87	83%
Hamilton	1.27	3.01	42%	53.84	103%
Jefferson	0.72	3.07	23%	48.23	80%
Lafayette	1.69	3.09	55%	49.65	88%
Levy	1.42	3.14	45%	48.49	81%
Madison	2.22	3.24	69%	48.80	87%
Suwannee	1.21	3.22	38%	51.96	98%
Taylor	2.35	3.17	74%	47.84	80%
Union	1.25	3.27	38%	46.00	85%

October 2015 Average: 1.43  
 October Average (1932-2013): 3.10  
 Historical 12-month Average (1932-2013): 54.63  
 Past 12-Month Total: 52.45  
 12-Month Rainfall Surplus/Deficit: -2.18


**Figure 1:** Comparison of District-wide Monthly Rainfall




**Figure 2: October 2015 Rainfall Estimate**




**Figure 3: October 2015 Percent of Normal Rainfall**






**Figure 4: 12-Month Rainfall Surplus/Deficit by River Basin Through October 31, 2015**







**Figure 5: 3-Month Rainfall Surplus/Deficit by River Basin Through October 31, 2015**




**Figure 6: Daily River Flow Statistics**  
 November 1, 2014 through October 31, 2015









RIVER FLOW, CUBIC FEET PER SECOND




**Figure 6, cont:** Daily River Flow Statistics  
November 1, 2014 through October 31, 2015




RIVER FLOW, CUBIC FEET PER SECOND



The Cody Scarp (or Escarpment) is an area of relatively steep topographical change that runs across north Florida. The geology above the Scarp consists of sandy soils over thick layers of mostly impermeable sediments such as clay. Streams are well-developed with dendritic (tree-like) drainage patterns. Because of the impermeable sediments, rainfall is collected in ever-growing surface streams as the land elevation falls. Below the Scarp, sandy soils overlay porous limestone. These areas are internally drained, meaning rainfall runs directly into the ground or into sinkholes instead of forming streams. In these areas, rainfall directly recharges the aquifer, which in turn discharges into rivers via springs and river bed seepage. The Scarp is important to the area's hydrology because it demarcates areas where streamflow is dependent almost entirely on recent rainfall and areas where streamflow is heavily influenced by groundwater.





**Figure 8: October 2015 Lake Levels**



SRWMD lakes react differently to climatic changes depending on their location in the landscape. Some lakes, in particular ones in the eastern part of the District, are embedded in a surficial or intermediate aquifer over relatively impermeable clay deposits. These lakes rise and fall according to local rainfall and surface runoff. They retain water during severe droughts since most losses occur from evaporation. Other lakes, such as Governor Hill and Waters Lake, have porous or “leaky” bottoms that interact with the Floridan aquifer. These lakes depend on groundwater levels to stay high. If aquifer levels are low, these lakes go dry even if rainfall is normal.

The District monitors 14 lakes with much of the data originally provided by volunteer observers. Monitoring records begin in the 1970s, except for Sampson Lake, which started in 1957.


Feet Above or Below Historic Average




### Figure 9: Monthly Springflow Measurements

The SRWMD monitors water quality at 38 springs. Flow is usually measured at the time of the sampling. The springs below were measured in October 2015 by SRWMD staff or by the USGS with the last measurement marked in red. Flow is given in MGD (million gallons per day--a million gallons would fill a football field about 3' deep). With the exception of the Ichetucknee River, Santa Fe Rise and the Alapaha Rise, springs in the SRWMD were measured infrequently prior to the late 1990s. Springs with long records were rarely measured more than once per decade; 'reverse' flow measurements have only been conducted during the past 10 years.

A spring's flow can be greatly affected by the level of the river it runs into. Rising river levels can act like a dam and slow spring flow causing what is known as a backwater effect. A river can flood a spring completely, known colloquially as a "brown-out". If the river levels are high enough, river water can flow back into the spring vent and thus into the aquifer, resulting in a negative flow rate. Because of the interaction between a spring and its receiving water body, some low flow measurements recorded are the result of river flooding and not necessarily drought conditions.






**Figure 10:**  
 October 2015  
 Upper Floridan Aquifer  
 Conditions

Statistics for wells  
 compared to historical records  
 beginning no earlier than 1976


*Additional wells courtesy of SJRWMD and USGS*

- High  
(Greater than 75th Percentile)
- Normal  
(25th to 75th Percentile)
- Low  
(10th to 25th Percentile)
- Extremely Low  
(Less than 10th Percentile)
- ↑    ↓   Increase/decrease in level since last month
- Increase/decrease since last month  
less than one percent of historic range
- District Boundary









Inset: September 2015 Groundwater Levels


**Figure 11: Monthly Groundwater Level Statistics**  
 Levels November 1, 2014 through October 31, 2015  
 Period of Record Beginning 1978









Upper Floridan Aquifer Elevation above NGVD 1929, Feet




**Figure 11, cont.:** Groundwater Level Statistics  
 Levels November 1, 2014 through October 31, 2015  
 Period of Record Beginning 1978



Upper Floridan Aquifer Elevation above NGVD 1929, Feet





**Figure 12a:**


**Regional Long Term Upper Floridan Monitor Wells (October 2015)**

The Floridan aquifer system underlies Florida and parts of Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina. It is one of the most productive aquifers in the world. In the SRWMD and surrounding areas, it is the primary source of irrigation and domestic drinking water, as well as the source of springs that sustain river flow during droughts.

Recharge to the Floridan occurs naturally as rainfall filters beneath the root zone of plants in uplands, wetlands, and some lakes and rivers. The recharge rate depends on the soils and degree of confinement of the underlying geology. Unconfined areas frequently consist of porous sands and limerock that permit high recharge from rainfall and surfacewater. Recharge to confined areas is restricted by nearly impermeable deposits, such as clay, that bound the top of the aquifer. Semi-confined areas are bounded at the top by thinner or breached impermeable deposits.

Water levels in the Floridan change in response to climatic conditions and pumping. A number of agencies in addition to the SRWMD monitor water levels in the Floridan. Of hundreds of monitor wells across Florida and Georgia only a few have continuous records that predate the 1980s. The wells on this map and a selection in figure 12b are the wells in and near the SRWMD with the longest continuous records.

Map and confinement data source: Bellino, J.C., 2011, Digital surfaces and hydrogeologic data for the Floridan aquifer system in Florida and in parts of Georgia, Alabama, and South Carolina: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 584



Inset: Extent of Floridan Aquifer

**Occurrence of Confined and Unconfined Conditions in the Upper Floridan Aquifer**







- Confined: Upper confining unit is generally greater than 100 feet thick and unbreached. Recharge is low.
- Semi-confined: Upper confining unit is generally less than 100 feet thick, breached, or both. Recharge is moderate.
- Unconfined: Upper confining unit is absent or very thin. Recharge is high.

**Percentile of Most Recent Water Level Relative to Entire Record**

- High (Greater than 75th Percentile)
- Normal (25th to 75th Percentile)
- Low (10th to 25th Percentile)
- Extremely Low (Less than 10th Percentile)
- Not Available
- SRWMD Boundary

# Figure 12b: Regional Long Term Upper Floridan Levels

October 2015



Upper Floridan Aquifer Elevation above NGVD 1929, Feet