

MEMORANDUM

TO: Suwannee River Water Management District Governing Board
FROM: Tom Mirti, Director, Division of Water Resources
THRU: Noah Valenstein, Executive Director
DATE: February 5, 2016
RE: January 2016 Hydrologic Conditions Report for the SRWMD

RAINFALL

- District-wide rainfall in January was 3.53", about 90 percent of the long-term average January rainfall of 3.88". Most areas of the District received near-average rainfall totals for the month, and rainfall in general was well-distributed District-wide. Lafayette County received almost 4.5", but Upper Santa Fe basin counties all received under 3", and Gilchrist County in particular was just above half normal monthly totals (Table 1 and Figure 1). Lower rainfall totals under 3" followed a band from the mouth of the Suwannee River northeast to Bradford County, while the upper Steinhatchee Basin received totals above 5" (Figure 2). Rainfall amounts in the Georgia portion of the Suwannee River basin also bracketed the January rainfall normal (Figure 3).
- The highest gaged monthly rainfall total (5.15") was recorded at the Midway Tower rainfall station in central Lafayette County, and the highest daily total (1.63" on January 15) was recorded at the Cooks Hammock rainfall station in southwest Lafayette County. The lowest gaged monthly total was 2.45" at the Bell Tower rainfall station in Gilchrist County.
- The rainfall average across the District for the 12-month period ending January 31 was 49.7", compared to the long-term average of 54.6". The cumulative 12-month deficit increased a half inch to 4.9". Rainfall deficits in the western Santa Fe River basin eased a little during the month; although pockets in the lower Santa Fe River basin persist at 15" below normal. A cumulative rainfall surplus remains in the lower Steinhatchee and Suwannee river basins (Figure 4).
- Average District rainfall for the 3 months ending December 31 totaled 8.1", about 5 percent below the long-term average of 8.6". The Aucilla and Econfina basins exhibited the largest 3 month surpluses, in limited areas above 6". Small 3-month deficit areas exceeding 5 inches are in the central Santa Fe River basin (Figure 5).

SURFACEWATER

- **Rivers:** All major river level stations in the Suwannee River basin in both Florida and Georgia ended the month within the normal range of flows (between the 25th and the 75th percentiles), and generally near the 50th percentile. Above normal conditions that had been present at Georgia river stations receded sufficiently to drop levels into the normal range. Flow statistics for major river stations are presented graphically in Figure 6, and river level conditions relative to historic conditions are provided in Figure 7.
- **Lakes:** Although most District monitored lakes declined in stage during January, those that did rise were those that generally had been at below average levels. Only 1 of 14 lakes, Alligator Lake in Lake City, remains at below average levels. Waters Lake in Gilchrist County declined the greatest amount, at -0.6', while Sneads Smokehouse Lake in Jefferson County again increased significantly, by 2.1'. Figure 8 shows lake levels relative to their respective long-term minimum, average and maximum levels.
- **Springs:** The flow of 14 springs or spring groups were measured by the USGS, District staff, and District contractors during January. Springflows overall increased slightly.

Historical flow data for four of the measured springs—a set of resurgences on the Santa Fe River—are provided in graphical format on Figure 9.

GROUNDWATER

Groundwater levels in upper Floridan aquifer monitor wells rebounded in the District and ended the month at the 61st percentile, an increase of 4 percentile points from December. Levels declined in the southeastern portion of the District, although much of the region remains in the high groundwater level category (above the 75th percentile). Scattered parts in the west-central region of the District rose into the high category again, with most of the rest of the District in the normal range (between the 25th percentile and 75th percentiles, Figure 10). Floridan aquifer levels for a representative sample of long-term wells are provided in Figure 11 along with summary statistics, and regional long-term well status is provided in Figure 12 with a description of aquifer characteristics.

HYDROLOGICAL/METEOROLOGICAL INFORMATION

- The Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI), a climatological tool produced by the National Climatic Data Center, assesses the severity and frequency of abnormally dry or wet weather using rainfall, temperature, and soil moisture data. PDSI values for the week ending January 30 showed ongoing near-normal conditions in north Florida and southern Georgia, although areas to the north and west were very wet.
- The National Weather Service Climate Prediction Center (CPC) has indicated that the peak of the El Niño event has passed in terms of Pacific Ocean temperatures, although above-normal rainfall conditions are expected to be projected to continue until May for north Florida. The current monthly El Niño 3.4 Index level is 2.6, and the primary forecast issue to be resolved is how quickly the impacts, such as increased rainfall, diminish, and whether or not there will be a transition to La Niña conditions, which often directly follows an El Niño.
- The U.S. Drought Monitor report of February 2 indicated abnormally dry conditions only along the northern fringes of Hamilton and Columbia counties bordering Georgia. The remainder of the District is within normal conditions.

CONSERVATION

Water conservation is necessary to sustain healthy flows in springs and rivers. All users are urged to eliminate unnecessary uses. Landscape irrigation is limited to once per week during Standard Time (between November 1, 2015 and March 13, 2016) based on a water conservation rule that applies to residential landscaping, public or commercial recreation areas, and businesses that aren't regulated by a District-issued permit. Information about the SRWMD's year-round irrigation conservation measures is available at www.mysuwanneeriver.com.

This report is compiled in compliance with Chapter 40B-21.211, Florida Administrative Code, using rainfall (radar-derived estimate), groundwater (105 wells), surfacewater (35 stations), and general information such as drought indices and forecasts. Data are provisional and are updated as revised data become available. Data are available at www.mysuwanneeriver.com or by request.

Table 1: Estimated Rainfall Totals (inches)

| County | January 2016 | January Average | Month % of Normal | Last 12 Months | Annual % of Normal |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Alachua | 2.63 | 3.39 | 77% | 47.73 | 94% |
| Baker | 3.63 | 3.48 | 104% | 46.02 | 92% |
| Bradford | 2.41 | 2.90 | 83% | 39.99 | 79% |
| Columbia | 3.89 | 3.43 | 114% | 51.15 | 100% |
| Dixie | 3.16 | 3.54 | 89% | 45.82 | 78% |
| Gilchrist | 2.67 | 4.58 | 58% | 47.53 | 83% |
| Hamilton | 4.20 | 4.31 | 97% | 53.65 | 103% |
| Jefferson | 4.10 | 4.35 | 94% | 46.43 | 77% |
| Lafayette | 4.47 | 4.09 | 109% | 50.55 | 89% |
| Levy | 3.18 | 3.99 | 80% | 47.52 | 80% |
| Madison | 3.95 | 3.93 | 101% | 46.38 | 82% |
| Suwannee | 4.17 | 4.20 | 99% | 52.33 | 99% |
| Taylor | 4.24 | 4.10 | 103% | 47.37 | 80% |
| Union | 2.79 | 4.00 | 70% | 45.77 | 85% |

January 2016 Average: 3.53
 January Average (1932-2013): 3.88
 Historical 12-month Average (1932-2013): 54.63
 Past 12-Month Total: 49.70
 12-Month Rainfall Surplus/Deficit: -4.93

Figure 1: Comparison of District-wide Monthly Rainfall




Figure 2: January 2016 Rainfall Estimate




Figure 3: January 2016 Percent of Normal Rainfall




Figure 4: 12-Month Rainfall Surplus/Deficit by River Basin Through January 31, 2016




Figure 5: 3-Month Rainfall Surplus/Deficit by River Basin Through January 31, 2016





Figure 6: Daily River Flow Statistics
 February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016



RIVER FLOW, CUBIC FEET PER SECOND
















Figure 6, cont: Daily River Flow Statistics
February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016



RIVER FLOW, CUBIC FEET PER SECOND



The Cody Scarp (or Escarpment) is an area of relatively steep topographical change that runs across north Florida. The geology above the Scarp consists of sandy soils over thick layers of mostly impermeable sediments such as clay. Streams are well-developed with dendritic (tree-like) drainage patterns. Because of the impermeable sediments, rainfall is collected in ever-growing surface streams as the land elevation falls. Below the Scarp, sandy soils overlay porous limestone. These areas are internally drained, meaning rainfall runs directly into the ground or into sinkholes instead of forming streams. In these areas, rainfall directly recharges the aquifer, which in turn discharges into rivers via springs and river bed seepage. The Scarp is important to the area's hydrology because it demarcates areas where streamflow is dependent almost entirely on recent rainfall and areas where streamflow is heavily influenced by groundwater.




Figure 8: January 2016 Lake Levels



SRWMD lakes react differently to climatic changes depending on their location in the landscape. Some lakes, in particular ones in the eastern part of the District, are embedded in a surficial or intermediate aquifer over relatively impermeable clay deposits. These lakes rise and fall according to local rainfall and surface runoff. They retain water during severe droughts since most losses occur from evaporation. Other lakes, such as Governor Hill and Waters Lake, have porous or “leaky” bottoms that interact with the Floridan aquifer. These lakes depend on groundwater levels to stay high. If aquifer levels are low, these lakes go dry even if rainfall is normal.

The District monitors 14 lakes with much of the data originally provided by volunteer observers. Monitoring records begin in the 1970s, except for Lakes Butler, Sampson, and Santa Fe, which started in 1957.

Feet Above or Below Historic Average






Figure 9: Monthly Springflow Measurements

The SRWMD monitors water quality at 38 springs. Flow is usually measured at the time of the sampling. The springs below were measured in January 2016 by SRWMD staff or by the USGS with the last measurement marked in red. Flow is given in MGD (million gallons per day--a million gallons would fill a football field about 3' deep). With the exception of the Ichetucknee River, Santa Fe Rise and the Alapaha Rise, springs in the SRWMD were measured infrequently prior to the late 1990s. Springs with long records were rarely measured more than once per decade; 'reverse' flow measurements have only been made during the past 10 years.

A spring's flow can be greatly affected by the level of the river it runs into. Rising river levels can act like a dam and slow spring flow causing what is known as a backwater effect. A river can flood a spring completely, known colloquially as a "brown-out". If the river levels are high enough, river water can flow back into the spring vent and thence into the aquifer, resulting in a negative flow rate. Because of the interaction between a spring and its receiving water body, some low flow measurements recorded are the result of river flooding and not necessarily drought conditions.







Figure 10:
 January 2016
 Upper Floridan Aquifer
 Conditions

Statistics for wells compared to historical records beginning no earlier than 1976




Inset: December 2015 Groundwater Levels

Additional wells courtesy of SJRWMD, SWFWMD and USGS

- High
(Greater than 75th Percentile)
- Normal
(25th to 75th Percentile)
- Low
(10th to 25th Percentile)
- Extremely Low
(Less than 10th Percentile)
- ↑ ↓ Increase/decrease in level since last month
- ⇄ Increase/decrease since last month less than one percent of historic range
- District Boundary

Figure 11: Monthly Groundwater Level Statistics
 Levels February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016
 Period of Record Beginning 1978



Upper Floridan Aquifer Elevation above NGVD 1929, Feet
















Figure 11, cont.: Groundwater Level Statistics
 Levels February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016
 Period of Record Beginning 1978



Upper Floridan Aquifer Elevation above NGVD 1929, Feet







Figure 12a:
Regional Long Term Upper Floridan
Monitor Wells (January 2016)

The Floridan aquifer system underlies Florida and parts of Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina. It is one of the most productive aquifers in the world. In the SRWMD and surrounding areas, it is the primary source of irrigation and domestic drinking water, as well as the source of springs that sustain river flow during droughts.

Recharge to the Floridan occurs naturally as rainfall filters beneath the root zone of plants in uplands, wetlands, and some lakes and rivers. The recharge rate depends on the soils and degree of confinement of the underlying geology. Unconfined areas frequently consist of porous sands and limerock that permit high recharge from rainfall and surfacewater. Recharge to confined areas is restricted by nearly impermeable deposits, such as clay, that bound the top of the aquifer. Semi-confined areas are bounded at the top by thinner or breached impermeable deposits.

Water levels in the Floridan change in response to climatic conditions and pumping. A number of agencies in addition to the SRWMD monitor water levels in the Floridan. Of the hundreds of monitor wells across Florida and Georgia, only a few have continuous records that predate the 1980s. The wells on this map and a selection in figure 12b are the wells in and near the SRWMD with the longest continuous records.

Map and confinement data source: Bellino, J.C., 2011, Digital surfaces and hydrogeologic data for the Floridan aquifer system in Florida and in parts of Georgia, Alabama, and South Carolina. U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 584



Inset: Extent of Floridan Aquifer

Occurrence of Confined and Unconfined Conditions in the Upper Floridan Aquifer



-  Confined: Upper confining unit is generally greater than 100 feet thick and unbreached. Recharge is low.
-  Semi-confined: Upper confining unit is generally less than 100 feet thick, breached, or both. Recharge is moderate.
-  Unconfined: Upper confining unit is absent or very thin. Recharge is high.

Percentile of Most Recent Water Level Relative to Entire Record

-  High (Greater than 75th Percentile)
-  Normal (25th to 75th Percentile)
-  Low (10th to 25th Percentile)
-  Extremely Low (Less than 10th Percentile)
-  Not Available
-  SRWMD Boundary

Figure 12b: Regional Long Term Upper Floridan Levels

January 2016



Upper Floridan Aquifer Elevation above NGVD 1929, Feet

